COUPLING OF EPOXIDES WITH 2-~ENZOTHIAZOLYLALKYLLITHIUMS~

Erbana Epifani,^a Saverio Florio^{a*} and Giovanna Gasparri Fava^b

a) Laboratorio di Chimica Organica, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Lecce, Italy b) Istituto di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica, C.N.R., Parma, Italy

(Received in *UK* 11 *September* 1990)

Summary: 2-Benzothiazolylalkyllithiums <u>la-e</u> react with epoxides 2a-d furnishing γ -hydroxyalkylbenzothiazoles 3 and 4, 5 and 6, 7 and 8, 9. The reaction of <u>1b</u> with <u>2a</u> and <u>2b</u> proceeds with
syn-diastereoselection giving <u>3</u> and <u>4</u> (<u>3</u>/<u>4</u> ratio > 95/5) a pronounced and <u>5</u> and <u>6</u> (<u>5</u>/ ratio : 86/14) respectively. Such a syn diastereoselection is rationalized in terms of transition state energy. A poor regio- and diastereoselection is observed in the reaction of $1b$ with $2c$ wich leads to 7 and 8 . The reaction of <u>1d</u> with <u>2a</u> is complicated by the selfcondensation of <u>1d</u> giving reaction of <u>id</u> with <u>2a</u> is complicated by the selfcondensation of <u>id</u>
a mixture of <u>10</u> and <u>11</u>. $\overline{1}$

Diasteroselective aldol reactions are of a significant value in synthetic organic chemistry.¹ Similar selective enolate/epoxide chemistry has not been pursued. Neverthless, development of such a chemistry would provide a valuable method of carbon-carbon bond forming reaction leading to a great variety of γ -hydroxycarbonylcompounds.

Enolates of ketones and esters have been reported not to react with epoxides; only E-aluminum enolates of t-butyl propionates have been recently reported to react in a stereoselective manner with unsymmetrical epoxides.² Nitrogen containing enolates (e.g. of amides, 3 enamines⁴ and ketimines⁵) do open epoxides. However, the stereoselection of such a coupling process has not been studied. Amide enolates do open epoxides stereoselectively, but highly hindered amide enolates are required to achieve high diastereoselectivity.^{3a} To our knowledge, the reaction of aza-enolates in which the aza group belongs to a heterocyclic ring with epoxides has never been studied sofar. As a part of our continuing interest in the coupling reaction of heteroaryl alkyl metals with electrophiles⁶ we report here the reaction of 2-benzothiazolylalkyllithiums with some epoxides.

Treatment of 2-benzothiazolylbenzyllithium lb, promptly available by lithiation (LDA, THF, -78° C) of 2-benzylbenzothiazole la with the epoxide $2a$ led to the cyclohexanols 3 and 4 , which could be easily separated by chromatography and characterised by IR and NMR spectroscopy. The reaction

turned out to be almost completely syn-diastereoselective.⁷ Configurations to 2 and 3 were assigned on the basis of the coupling constants between Ha and Hb protons (J 3.8 Hz for the syn isomer and J 5.8 Hz for the anti isomer).

Determination of the configuration at the OH bearing carbon atom of 3 (or $\frac{4}{1}$) was not trivial and could be accomplished only by X-Ray crystallography. Figure 1 clearly shows that the configuration of the OH bearing carbon atom of the cyclohexane ring of $\frac{3}{2}$ was opposite to that of the adjacent carbon involved in the coupling reaction. This indicates that the epoxide ring opening reaction has proceeded with inversion of configuration. This result is in accordance with the literature observation that organometallics cause ring opening of epoxides with complete inversion of configuration.⁸ It might be that the preliminary coordination of the organolithium 1b on the epoxide oxygen of 2a activates the C-O bond cleavage. Indeed, we have found that $1c$, achievable by transmetallation of $1b$ with MgBr₂, does not react with $2a$, presumably because of the weaker coordinating ability of magnesium with respect to lithium. Indeed Mg-0 pair is known to be less tightly bound than the Li-O counterpart.⁹

Considering that benzothiazolylbenzyllithium $1b$ exists as an equilibrium of the two aza-enolate forms (A) and (B), with a preference for the geometrical form (A) , with the phenyl group cis to the nitrogen atom, 10 the syn-diastereoselection observed in the coupling between $1b$ and $2a$

might tentatively be explained in terms of transition state energy. Transition state ST_1 leading to the syn-diasteomer 3 and arising from a r e-si 12 face matching is thermodinamically favored over transition state $ST₂$ leading to the anti diastereomer $\frac{4}{3}$ and arising from a re-re face matching which experiences a larger steric compression.

 $ST₁$ (re-si face matching)

ŌН 4 anti

1 syn

(re-re face matching)

The ring opening reaction of the $trans$ -butene oxide $2b$ with $1b$ proceeded too in a syn-diastereoselective manner relatively to the newly formed C-C bond to give the alcohols 5 and 6 (5/6 ratio: 86/14).

Configuration of 5 and 6 were assigned by measuring the coupling constant between Ha and Hb and between Hb and Hc.

The observed syn-diastereselection here again might be accounted for in terms of transition state energy: transition state ST_3 is energetically favored over transition state ST_4 for steric reasons.

The reaction of <u>1b</u> with a monosubstituted epoxide such as styrene oxide <u>2c</u> posed problems of regiochemistry other than stereochemistry. Indeed, treatment of 1b with 2c provided a mixture of the regioisomeric alcohols 2 (diastereomeric mixture) and 8 (diastereomeric mixture), compound 8 being the more abundant one.

The preferred formation of 8 with respect to 7 (8/7 ratio: 64/36) sounded surprise in view of the long standing generalisation that nucleophilic attack in monosubstituted, geminally disubstituted and trisubstituted non protonated epoxides occurs at the least substituted ring carbon.¹¹ The benzylic acceleration could be invoked although aryl substituted epoxides have been reported to be less reactive than the alkyl counterparts towards anionic nucleophiles.12 The benzylic acceleration in our case is supported by the fact that styrene oxide has been found to be much more reactive than the cyclohexene oxide towards $1b$ in a competitive experiment. Moreover, the preferential formation of 8 in the reaction of $1b$ with 2c migth be accounted for by assuming a preliminary coordination of

the organolithium $1b$ on the epoxide oxygen of $2c$ to give the complex C with a higher positive charge density on the phenyl bearing carbon atom that would cause preferential attack of $1b$ at the a carbon from both sides.

The reaction of 1b with the disubstituted epoxide 2d (trans) afforded exclusively the benzylic alcohol 9 (diastereomers) likely stemming from the attack of 1b at the more electrophilic carbon atom of the epoxide ring that is the one bearing the p-chlorophenyl substituent.

The reaction of other benzothiazolylalkyllithiums with epoxides was complicated by the known tendency of 2-alkylbenzothiazoles to undergo self-condensation in basic conditions.¹³ Indeed, the reaction of 2-benzothiazolylethyllithium 1d with cyclohexene oxide 2a furnished an almost equimolecular mixture of the cyclohexanol 10 (diastereomeric mixture) and the ketone JJ

The formation of compound 11 might be accounted for by considering that the self-condensed intermediate 12 might undergo ring cleavage to give the imine <u>13</u>, which then converts to the ketone <u>11</u> upon hydrolysis.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were measured on a Electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 598 spectrophotometer. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM-360 A or a Varian XL-200 spectrometers and chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (6) from internal Me₄Si. Column chromatography was carried out by using Merck 70-230 mesh silica gel.

Materials: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether (Et₂O) from commercial sources (RS, Carlo Erba) were purified by distillation (twice) from sodium
wire in a N₂ atmosphere. Petroleum ether (RS, C.E.) refers to the 40-60°C boiling prepared oxide <u>2c</u> withour titrated prior to use. ether (RS, C.E.) refers to the 40-60°C
and 2-ethvl-benzothiazole¹³ le were 2-ethyl-benzothiazole as reported. Cyclohexene oxide 2a, 2-butene oxide 2b, le were styrene and p-chlorostylbene oxide 2d were commercial grade and were used further purification. n-BuLi (hexane solution, from Fluka) was

Lithiation of 2-Bensylbenzothiazole la and reaction with cyclohexene oxide $\overline{2a}$

To a stirred solution of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA), prepared by adding an hexane solution of 2.3 N n-BuLi (2.3 m) , 5.3 mmol) to a THF (8) ml) solution of diisopropylamine (0.54 g, 5.3 mmol), was added, dropwise, at -78°, under N₂₄ a THF (3 ml) solution of 1a (1.0 g, 4.4 mmol), After 5h -78° , under N₂, a THF (3 ml) solution of $1a$ (1.0 g, 4.4 mmol). After 5h at $-78\degree$ C, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and kept there for about 20 h. Quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl, extraction solvent removal after column chromatography on silica gel using Et₂O-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent, gave mainly <u>syn-2-(2-benzothiazolyl)phenylmethylciclohexanol</u> <u>3</u> (0.80 g, 56% yield) m.p. 98-100°C (EtOH-Et₂O). IR (nujol) 3600-3120 cm-1 (OH). $\frac{1}{1}$ H-NMR (CDCl₃-D₂O): 8 0.9-2.7 (m, 8 H), 3.15-3.75 (m, 2 H), 4.73 (d, 1 H, $J = 3.8$ Hz), $7.3-\overline{8}.3$ (m, 9 H).

When the reaction was carried out under different experimental conditions (30 min at -78°C, 25h at room temperature) usual work up led to an oil
residue that, after column chromatography on silica gel using after column chromatography on silica gel using Et20-petroleum ether (1:9) anti-2-(2-benzothiazolyl)phenylmethy eluent, gave <u>3</u> (50% yield) <u>cyclohexanol 4</u> (11% yield), and 134-136°C (EtOH-Et₂O). IR (nujol) 3600-3200 cm-l (OH); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃-D₂O) δ 0.7-2.85 (m, 8 H); 3.1-3.75 (m, 2 H); 4.92 (d, 1 H, J = 5.8 Hz); 7.26⁻²8.2 (m, 9 H).

A poorer diastereoselection was observed in the reaction of 1b with 2a when n-BuLi was used as lithiating agent $(3/4 \text{ ratio: } 61/39)$.

Reaction of 2-benzothiazolylphenylmethyllithium lb with trans-butene oxide 2_b

To a stirred solution of $\underline{1b}$ (2.66 mmol) prepared as above, a THF (3) ml) solution of $2b$ (0.23 g, 3.2 mmol), was added dropwise at - 78°C. After 30 min the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and 30 min the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature kept there for 24 h. Usual work up afforded a mixture of three main compounds that were separated by column chromatography using Et_2O -petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent. The first eluted compound was the starting material la, the second was (syn)-4-(2-benzothiazolyl)-4-phenyl-3-methyl-2-butanol 5 (0.177 g, 22%), m.p. 82-84 °C (Et₂O-petroleum ether). IR (nujol) 3550-3100
cm⁻¹ (OH). ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDC1₃-D₂O): 8 0.96 (d, 3 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.20 (d, $3H$, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.47 (m, 1 H), 3.90 (m, 1 H), 4.54 (d, 1 H, J = 9.9 Hz), 7.25-7.45 (m, 7 H), 7.73-7.76 (m, 1 H), 7.97-8.01 (m, 1 H). The third eluted compound was <u>(anti)-4-(2-benzothiazolyl)-4-phenyl-3-methyl-2-butanol</u> $6 (0.028 \text{ g}^2, 38), \frac{\text{m.p. }121-123 \text{ °C}}{(\text{Et}_2O-\text{petroleum ether})}.$ IR (nujol) 3400_{broad} cm⁻¹ (OH). ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDC1₃-D₂O): 8 1.04 (d, 1 H, J = 6.4)

Reaction of 2-benzothiazolylphenylmethyllithium lb with styrene oxide 2c.

To a stirred solution of <u>1b</u> (2.66 mmol) prepared as above, a THF (3 ml) solution of $2c$ (0.38 g, 3.19 \overline{m} mol) was added dropwise at -78°C. After 30 min at -78° C the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and kept there for 24h. Quenching with aqueous NH_4C1 and usual work up left a residue (0,97 g) that was column chromatographied on silica gel using Et₂O-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent to give two main products. The first eluted compound was <u>3-(2-benzothiazolyl)-1,3- diphenyl-1-propanol</u> 7. Oil $(0.283 \text{ g}, 32\text{ s})$. IR (neat) 3700-3120 cm⁻¹ (OH). ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, 2.50-2.55 (m, 1 H), 2.79-2.93 (m, 1 H),4.7 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.2 CDCl₃-D₂0) J = 9. Z **Hz),** 4.8 (dd, 1 H, J = 4.25, J = 8.57 Hz), 7.24-7.48(m, 12 H), 7.7-7.8 (m, 1 H), 7.90-8.0 (m, 1 H). The second eluted product was a diasteromeric mixture of 3-(2-benzothiazolvl)-2,3-diphenyl-1-propanol 8 (0.416 g, 45%). The diastereomers were then separated by a further column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂ as eluent) and characterized. Syn isomer: oil. IR (neat) 3140-3700
cm⁻¹ (OH), ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCla-DaO): 6 3.85- 3.91 (m, 3 H), 4.92 (d, 1 cm^{-r} (OH). ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDC1₃-D₂O): 8 3.85- 3.91 (m, 3 H), 4.92 (d, 1 H, J = 10.4 Hz), 7.1-7.5 (m, 12H), 7.77-7.81 (m, lH), 8.01-8.05 (m, 1 H). Anti isomer : m p. $132-134^{\circ}$ C (Et₂O-petroleum ether). IR (nujol) 3300-3600 H), $^{-1}$ (OH). 1 H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃-D̄₂O): 6 3.69-3.73 (m, 2 H), 3.89-4.0 (m, 1 7.88-7.90 (m, 1 H).

Reaction of 2-benzothiazolylphenylmethyllithium lb with trans-4-chlorostylbenoxide 2d.

To a stirred solution of $1b$ prepared by addition of $1a$ (0.6 g, 2.66 mmol) in 3 ml of THF to a solution of LDA [diisopropylamine (0.32 g, 3.2 mmol), 2,3 N n-BuLi (1.4 ml, 3.2 mmol)], a THF (3 ml) solution of <u>2d</u> (0.74 g, 3.2 mmol) was added dropwise at -78° C. After 30 min at -78° C the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and kept there for 24h. Quenching with aqueous NH_4C1 and usual work up left a residue (1.06 g) that was column chromatographied on silica gel using Et₂0-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent to give two main products. The first eluted compound was the starting material la, the second eluted product was a diastereomeric mixture of <u>3-(2-benzothiazoly</u>l)-1,3-diphenyl-2-

 $-(4$ -chlorophenyl)-1-propanol 9 (0,64 g, 53%). 1 H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3) : 8 3.3 (broad s, $1H$, exchange with D_2O), 5.28-5.36 (m, 1H), 6.86-7.52 (m, 16H), $3.9-4.0$ (m, $1H$), $5.09-5.13$ (m, $1H$), 7.82 (d, lH, J=7.8 Hz), 8.06 (d, lH, $J=7.8$ Hz).

Reaction of 1-(2-benzothiazolyl)ethyllithium Id with cyclohexene oxide 2a.

To stirred solution of <u>1d</u>, prepared by addition of <u>1e</u> (3.67 mmol) in THF (3 ml) to a solution of LDA [diisopropylamine (4.05 mmol), 2,3 N n-BuLi (4.05 mm/s)], a THF (3 ml) solution of $2a(4.04 \text{ mm/s})$ was added dropwise at -78 °C. After 30 min the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and kept there for 24 h. Usual workup afforded a mixture of starting material <u>le</u> and two main compounds that were separated by column chromatography using Et $_2$ O-petroleum ether (2:8) as eluent. The first eluted compound was <u>2-(2-benzōthiazolyl)-3-pentanone</u> 11. Qil (0,105 g, 13%). IR (neat) (<u>11a</u> + <u>11b</u>) 3430_b <u>Б)</u> (\overline{NH}) , 1710 cm⁻¹ (c=0). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃ (almost $\overline{exclusively}$ $\overline{115}$): δ 1.04 (dd, 3H, J=7.2, J=7.1 Hz), 1.93 (200 MHz) 1.93 (s, $3H$), 2.80 (dq, 1H, J=7.1, J=18.8 Hz), 3.02 (dq, 1H, J=7.2, J=18.8 Hz), 5.27 (sharp singlet, 3.02 (dq, 1H, J=7.2, J=18.8 Hz), 7.99-8.03 (m, 1H). The second eluted compound was
<u>2-[1-(2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]cyclohexanol</u> 10(0.173 g, 20%), m.p. 79-80 °C
(Et₂O-petroleum ether). IR (nujol) 3500-3100 cm⁻¹ (OH). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃-D₂O, lH, exchange with D20), 7.3-7.5 (m, 2H); 7.83-7.88 (m, lH),

200 MHz): 6 1.16-1.43 (m, SH), 1.58-1.70 (m, 2H), 2.03-2.11 (m, 3H1, 2.63 (ddd, lH, J=3.9, J=9.8, J=11.7 **Hz),** 3.27 (dq, lH, J=4.8, J=lO.O Hz), 6.66-6.76 (m, 2H), 7.01-7.18 (m, lH), 7.36-7.41 (m, 1H).

x-ray crystallographic data of 3:

The intensities of 3222 reflections were collected to a 2 $\theta_{\texttt{max}}$ = 130°, on a computer controlled Siemens AED by the w– 2 0 scan technique. The intensity
of standard reflection was measured every 50 reflections to check the of standard reflection was measured every 50 reflections to check the
stability of the crystal and the electronic. No absorption correction was applied.

Figure 1. Perspective view of the two independent molecules of 3

The structure was solved by direct methods using the SHELX86 program¹⁴ and refined to a final <u>R</u> value of 10.25%, wR 10.39% for 994 reflections with
I>3 σ (I). [w = 1.0158] σ^2 (F_°) + 0.01182 (F_°)²]⁻¹. H atoms were placed in $(F_o) + 0.01182$ $(F_o)²$. H atoms were placed in calculated position "riding" on their C atoms exept HO1 and HO2 which were located from a Fourier difference synthesis. In order to maintain a reasonably high parameters- to-data ratio, all carbon atoms were refined isotropically. The value of WR for the alternative enantiomeric distribution was 10.41%, which indicate that the enantiomeric structure cannot be reyected because the accuracy of the analysis is not enough to remove the ambiguity. The standard deviation are appreciably high, because of the low number of reflection available, so a detailed discussion of bond distances and angles is not possible. Scattering factors for **C,** H, 0, N, S was taken from Ref.15, and both the real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion were included.

The molecular structure consists of two independent molecules with the same absolute configuration but different orientation of the cyclohexanol group relatively to benzothiazole moiety. The rotation of the cyclohexane ring must be due to a favorable situation for a intermolecular hydrogen bond $[02-H02-\cdots 01(1-x,y-1/2,3-z) = 2.93 (2)$ Å] between oxygen atoms of the two independent molecules. 02 is in axial position $[C20'-C15'-C16'-02 = -67$ (3) while 01, of the other molecule, is equatorial $[C20-C15-C16-O1 = -171$ (2)"] and forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the nitrogen atom Nl $[O1-HO1--N1 = 2.58 (3)$ Å]. Both cyclohexane rings are in the chair conformation [the puckering parameters are respectively : $q_2 = 0.05$ (3), 0,09 (3) A; $\theta_2 = 5(2)$, 171 (2)°]. The arrangement of the hydrogen atoms with respect to C8-C15, ClS-C16, C8'-ClS', ClS'-C16' bonds is defined by the torsional angles: H8-C8-C15-H15 = -65 (2)°; H15-C15-C16-H16 = 179 (2)°; HB' -C8'-C15'-H15' = 179 (2)°; H15'-C15'-C16'-H16' = 84 (2)°. The packing of the two independent molecules, linked each other by hydrogen bond, is entirely due to Van der Waals forces.

Aknowledgments: - We thank Italian CNR and Ministero Pubblica Istruzione (Rome) for financial support. We also thank Professor D. A. Clemente, Università di Lecce, for useful suggestions for crystallographic analysis.

REFERENCES

- 1. (a) C. H.Heatcock, C. T. Buse, W.A. Kleschick, M. C. Pirrung, J. E. Sohn, J. Lampe, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1976, <u>45</u>, 1066; (b) D. A. Evans, J. V. Nelson, **E.** Vogel, T. R. Taber, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 1981, 103, 3099; (c) s. Masamune, W. Choy, F. A. J. Kerdesky, B. Imperiali, ibid, 1981, 103, 1566.
- 2. **T.** J. Sturm, A. E. Marolewski, D. S. Rezenka, S. K. Taylor, J. Org. $Chem.$, 1989, 54 , 2039.
- 3. a) T. B. Grindley, F. Sauriol-Lord, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1981, <u>46</u> 2833; H. Takahata, E. Wang, T. Yamazaki, Synth. Commun., 1988,18, 1159; (c) R. P. Woodbury, M. W. Rathke, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 1688.
- 4. A. Z. Britten, W. S. Owen, C. W. Went, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1969, <u>25</u>, 3157.
- 5. M. Larcheveque, G. Valette, T. Cuvigny, H. Normant, <u>Synthesis</u>, 1975, 256.
- 6. E. Epifani, S. Florio, G. Ingrosso, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1987, <u>43</u>, 1937; Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 6385; Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 5869.
- 7. The reaction was less stereoselective when BuLi was used as the

lithiating agent.

- 8. H. Felkin, G. Roussi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 4153.
- 9. C. A. Brown, J.Org.Chem., 1974, 39, 3913.
- 10. S. Bradamante, G. A. Pagani, Pure and Appl. Chem., 1989, 61, 709.
- 11. L. A. Flippin, P. A. Brown, K. Jalali-Araghi, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 3588.
- 12. J. I. Lynas-Gray, C. J. M. Stirling, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.</u>, 1984, , , 483 and Ref therein.
- 13. F. Babudri, F. Ciminale and S.Florio, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 2051.
- 14. G. M. Sheldrick, **"SHEX.X86",** Crystallographic Computing 3, Eds. G.M. Sheldrick, C. Kruger and R. Goddard, Oxford University Press., 1985.
- 15. "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography" Kynoch Press. Birmingham, 1975, vol. 4.